



---

## 2010 Decisions

---

Opinions of the United  
States Court of Appeals  
for the Third Circuit

6-18-2010

# Alexandru Sindrestean v. Atty Gen USA

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2010](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2010)

---

## Recommended Citation

"Alexandru Sindrestean v. Atty Gen USA" (2010). *2010 Decisions*. 1140.  
[https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit\\_2010/1140](https://digitalcommons.law.villanova.edu/thirdcircuit_2010/1140)

This decision is brought to you for free and open access by the Opinions of the United States Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit at Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository. It has been accepted for inclusion in 2010 Decisions by an authorized administrator of Villanova University Charles Widger School of Law Digital Repository.

**NOT PRECEDENTIAL**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS  
FOR THE THIRD CIRCUIT

---

No. 09-2272

---

ALEXANDRU NICOLAIE SINDRESTEAN,  
Petitioner

v.

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE UNITED STATES,  
Respondent

---

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals  
(Agency No. A029-433-505)  
Immigration Judge: Frederic Leeds

---

Submitted Under Third Circuit LAR 34.1(a)  
June 17, 2010  
Before: RENDELL, FISHER AND GARTH, Circuit Judges  
  
(Opinion filed: June 18, 2010)

---

OPINION

---

PER CURIAM

Petitioner Alexandru Sindrestean, a citizen of Romania, seeks review of a final order of removal. Sindrestean claims that he suffered past persecution, and that he fears

future persecution if removed, on account of his religious beliefs. Because the adverse credibility determination in this case is supported by substantial evidence, we will deny the petition for review.

## I.

Sindretean first entered the United States in September 1990. The next month, he filed an asylum application. The record does not reveal what became of that application, but Sindretean returned to Romania in 1992. Sindretean entered the United States again in 1993, and he filed his second asylum application in July 2007. Sindretean also sought withholding of removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture. A hearing on those applications was held before an immigration judge (IJ) in Newark, New Jersey.

Sindretean testified at the hearing that he was born in one of the villages of Baia Mare. According to Sindretean, everyone in the village belonged to the same Orthodox Christian church. In 1984, however, Sindretean and his parents switched their religious affiliation to a Pentecostal church in the city of Tirgu Lapus. Eventually, Pentecostal church gatherings were held at the Sindretean home. Six months after Sindretean's conversion, members of the village began to retaliate against him. For example, at the behest of a local priest, Sindretean's house was vandalized. In addition, Sindretean was called to the police station and questioned about "the reason that [he] changed Orthodoxy to Pentecostal and what [his] intentions [were]." In 1992, after Sindretean had finished his service in the Romanian army, he was beaten by a policeman who suspected that Sindretean would resume his observance of the Pentecostal faith.

At the close of the hearing, the IJ made an adverse credibility determination and denied Sindrestean all relief. The Board of Immigration Appeals (BIA) dismissed Sindrestean's appeal. The BIA first determined that Sindrestean had waived any challenge to the IJ's denial of his applications for withholding of removal and protection under the Convention Against Torture. The BIA next determined that the IJ's credibility determination was not clearly erroneous. The BIA concluded that "in light of the adverse credibility finding and country conditions of record . . . [Sindrestean] has not met his burden of proof for asylum." Sindrestean appealed.<sup>1</sup>

## II.

On appeal, Sindrestean challenges the BIA's adoption of the IJ's adverse credibility determination. Sindrestean argues that he has met his burden of proof for his asylum claim. We reject this argument, for we conclude that the adverse credibility determination in this case is supported by substantial evidence.

We agree with the IJ that "there were significant and material inconsistencies" between Sindrestean's 1990 asylum application and his testimony. For example, the 1990 application states that Sindrestean's religion was Eastern Orthodox, the very religion that

---

<sup>1</sup> We have jurisdiction to review a final order of removal under 8 U.S.C. § 1252(a)(1). See Abdulai v. Ashcroft, 239 F.3d 542, 547 (3d Cir. 2001). "[W]hen the BIA both adopts the findings of the IJ and discusses some of the bases for the IJ's decision, we have authority to review the decisions of both the IJ and BIA." Chen v. Ashcroft, 376 F.3d 215, 222 (3d Cir. 2004). We review an adverse credibility determination for substantial evidence and will uphold that determination "unless any reasonable adjudicator would be compelled to conclude to the contrary." Gao v. Ashcroft, 299 F.3d 266, 272 (3d Cir. 2002) (quotations omitted).

Sindretean testified to abandoning in 1984. Leaving aside the 1990 application, though, material inconsistencies exist between Sindretean's 2007 asylum application, his corroboratory evidence, and his testimony. As the IJ noted, the acts of vandalism done to Sindretean's house that he described in his testimony are not mentioned in the asylum application, nor is any information about Pentecostal church services held in the house. Furthermore, the evidence presented concerning a "Eugen Cosma" is inconsistent at best: Sindretean submitted an affidavit from Cosma stating that he was with Sindretean when they were stopped by police in 1992, yet Sindretean testified that he was by himself during that incident.<sup>2</sup> Faced with these facts, it was reasonable for the IJ to conclude that Sindretean lacked credibility.

The IJ's adverse credibility determination is fatal to Sindretean's request for asylum, see Dia v. Ashcroft, 353 F.3d 228, 247 (3d Cir. 2003) (en banc), and we will therefore deny his petition for review.

---

<sup>2</sup> Sindretean also testified that Cosma was actually one of the police officers that brought him in for questioning in the 1980s. Perhaps that was a different "Eugen Cosma," but without sufficient explanation, that testimony is not easily reconciled with the following line from the Cosma affidavit: "I met [Sindretean] in June 1992 at the Pentecostal Church . . ."